Network Society

Roberta Iannone

Department of Political Sciences of Sapienza University of Rome

Emanuela Ferreri

Department of Communication and Social Research of Sapienza University of Rome

Maria Cristina Marchetti

Department of Political Sciences of Sapienza University of Rome

Laura Mariottini

Department of Political Sciences of Sapienza University of Rome

Manuela Cipri

Faculty of Political Science, Sociology and Communication, Sapienza University of Rome

Vernon Series in Sociology



All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Vernon Art and Ascience Inc.

www.vernonpress.com

In the Americas: Vernon Press 1000 N West Street, Suite 1200, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 United States *In the rest of the world:* Vernon Press C/Sancti Espiritu 17, Malaga, 29006 Spain

Vernon Series in Sociology

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016910433

ISBN: 978-1-62273-040-7

Product and company names mentioned in this work are the trademarks of their respective owners. While every care has been taken in preparing this work, neither the authors nor Vernon Art and Science Inc. may be held responsible for any loss or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by the information contained in it.

Table of Contents

Preface		ix
Paolo de Nardi	s	
Introduction		ix
Chapter 1 Roberta Ianno	Network Society. What is it? ne	21
Chapter 2 Emanuela Ferr	Network Society: Hypothesis of Solidity eri	77
	Networks and Spaces. Governance and e in the European Union	107
Maria Cristina	Marchetti	
	Network Society and Public Space. Latin grants and the Linguistic Landscape of Ro	
Laura Mariotti	ni	
	Web, Network Society, and Transmedia i paigns: a case study on David Cameron (
Manuela Cipri		
Index		219

Preface

Paolo de Nardis

What is the network society?

Roberta Iannone provides a complete and articulated definition of the concept of "network" that renders, in a clear and effective way, the descriptive content of the analysis, giving us at the same time a key to depict the contemporaneity. This perspective is enlarged and enriched by the interesting contributions of Cristina Marchetti, Laura Mariottini, Emanuele Ferreri and Manuela Ciprì.

This approach constitutes a way to avoid the traps inherent in an ontological method and to base the analysis of this relevant theme starting from an assumption: the "Network" can be realistically depicted as an element able to shape and define the contemporaneity.

Since last century 70s, I have always considered very interesting the attainment of this conception. It undoubtedly originates from functionalism, but overcomes it by including a strong axiological element based on reciprocity, collaboration and trust.

From a modern epistemological point of view, in my opinion, it is quite important to try to avoid the ontological approach in order to consider the concept of network society merely as a concept: an instrument to explain reality, rather than an instrument pretending to objectify an analytical category presenting it as the exact reality.

So we can say the network society is a powerful instrument to analyze contemporaneity – as demonstrated by the interesting works of the present research –, but without the pretension to exhaust all the ranges of the possible interpretations of reality. This should be read by making use of a large set of concepts, none of which really exclusive. All instruments then must be checked according to the principles of verifiability, replicability and publicity of the results.

That said, the heuristic fecundity of the proposed concept could not be denied because deeply investigated and analytically founded on a large number of sociological literature on the subject, produced during the last quarter of a century both in Italy and abroad. The main contribution of this literature led to a fascinating and important idea: contemporary western societies, developing along the late segment of modernity, do not govern themselves according to the traditional scheme of the state-civil society (of political and business world) and according the mono-regulative top-down model, but they organize themselves according to a horizontal network.

Under this perspective, the horizontal self-organization of the societies plays a role of substitution and supplementation of public powers, whenever they are weak or completely missing in their essential functions of distribution, regulation and control.

This is a conception that gave birth to the "neo-corporative" conception of the social sphere and that redefines it in a neofunctionalist and neo-morphological way. The old social pyramid squeezes and molding a horizontal-reticular society, with the consequent fall of old schemes and hierarchies.

The theoretical sources of this neo-pluralistic morphology of the social sphere, from both an ideological and cultural point of view, are part of the present research that, in identifying the sociological conscience implicit in this interpretation of reality, aims at redefining a snapshot of social life, on the grounds of the major cultural currents. Yet, the latter does not seem always conformed to such a geometrical approach, with quite clear returns to the past models, falling in the misleading vision of the so called autumn of the State and of its regulative function.

If we refer to the pluralistic approach in sociology, we find one of the most important author, Gurvitch. In his work, the organization factor of a social group has a fundamental role for the capacity of generating norms. Forerunners of this approach could be philosophers and law theorists, like Duguit, Van Gierke, Hariou and others. Moreover, along the lines of a sociological research on education, considered in connection with the organization factor, we can examine some important positions by Sellznick, a sociologist of law, who came from the sociology of organization. Here, it is possible to recognize the same pluralistic approach to the study the latemodern society, closely related to an explicit need to explain how complex organizations can be a vehicle to education and of expectations on justice and law.

In this case, the endo-organizative perspective makes room to a pluralism defined according to the principles of justice of an industrial kind that amounts to the reality of a complex organization.

Hence, reshaping the old vision of the classic pluralism with this new conceptual model, considering pluralism as a system, it seems we can affirm that beyond the absorption of values and norms coming from political power, it is at work the same mechanism of absorption emanating from the centers of economic and social power and from the media.

In this perspective, pluralism meets functionalism, giving birth to a way of modeling characterized by a functionalist neo-morphology of the social sphere.

On the light of these contributions and of the neo-pluralistic framework developed by these authors, in this research the aim is to go "further", by applying a relational lens (rather than a proper paradigm) to the study of the network. The pluralistic fulcrum of the sociology of law and organization needs to be reconsidered and integrated, rather than contradicted, according to the most recent theoretical achievements on the relational sociology. In this sense, the aim of this work is to investigate the networks and their properties in terms of social capital and governance, with the goal to reconstruct the ideal conscience of the new reticular society in its shades and lights.

Rome, February 2016.

PAGES MISSING FROM THIS FREE SAMPLE

Index

A

a posteriori, 70 a priori, 40, 45 A. Riles, 100 A.N.T., 87 ab origine, 64 actants, 91 Alain Touraine, 24 alienation, 25 Andean, 163 Andean countries, 163 Angelo Mai Altrove Occupato, 183 Arab Springs, 104 arcana imperii, 75 Ariadne, 91 Augé, 117, 119, 145 Australopithecus, 95 avatar, xviii axiological, ix, 70

B

Barbieri, 60, 77 Barnes, 32, 77 Barney, 23, 24, 25, 30, 31, 77, 115, 127, 128, 145 Baths of Diocletian, 179 Baudrillard, 26 Ben Rafael, 158 Bettini, 71, 77 bilingualism, 162, 163 billboards, 155, 158, 160, 162, 163, 188 Blair's true heir, 211 Bobbio, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77 Boissevan, 32, 77 Boschma, 34, 122, 145 Bott, 32, 77, 90 Boudon, 68 Bourdieu, 56, 67, 77, 104, 110 Bracalenti, 157, 164, 203, 204 Bracalenti et, 157, 164 Brussels, 132, 139, 149, 162 bureaucracy, 29 Burt, 32, 64, 77, 80

С

C.M., 68 Cabinet Council, 216 *Caméra d'Or*, 86 capitalism, 25, 27, 126, 127, 154 Capitoline, 177, 183, 186 Castells, xiv, 23, 27, 30, 77, 115, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 131, 132, 145, 146, 153, 154, 204, 233, 234 Catalunyan, 23 Cavalli, 39, 78 cellular automata, 209 Center of Excellence of the University of Siena, 157, 163 chaos theory, 209 Choe, 68, 79 Cipriani, 71, 78 **Civil Society Contact** Group, 143 Coleman, 32, 56, 65, 78 Colle Oppio, 176, 177, 179 Coluccia, 60, 78 Commission of the European Communities, 134, 135, 136, 149 computerization, 25 CONCORD, 142, 143 conformity, ix, 45 Consequences of modernity, 117 contemporaneity, ix, 41 cosmos, 69 Cotesta, 71, 78 CSOs, 139, 141 cybernetics, 209 cyberspace, 27

D

Daniel Bell, 24 David Cameron, 209, 210, 214, 215, 216, 224, 231 Davis, 67, 80 de Nardis, ix, 71, 78 dematerialisation, 122 dematerialization, xi, xiv, 129, 153 de-spatialisation, xiv de-spatialization, xi dichotomic, 43 dichotomy, 211 *diktat*, 37 discourses, xiii, 108, 154 *do ut des*, 41 Don Chijote, 75 Donati, 32, 59, 60, 72, 78 Donolo, 38, 78 Duguit, xi Durkheim, 89, 106, 118, 146

E

E. Ferreri, 31 Ecuador Amazónico, 176, 177 eLife, 95 Ellul, 24, 78 Emanuela Ferreri, i, xii, 83 entropy, 209 epiphenomena, 57 epistemological, ix, xiv, 85 Esquilino, 163, 165, 196, 205 essentialist, 26 ethnographic, xii, xiv, 87, 89, 93, 108 Ethnography, xiii, 94 ethnolinguistic, 161 European Economic, 141, 142, 149 European Economic and Social Committee, 141, 149European Forum for the Arts and Heritage, 142

European Governance, xi, xv, 116, 137, 148, 149 **European Public Health** Alliance, 142 **European Transparency** Initiative, 139 European Union, xv, 115, 116, 132, 133, 137, 139, 140, 143, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 226 European Women's Lobby, 142 European Youth Forum, 142 ex novo, 98 Expreso Latino, 167

F

Facebook, xviii, xix, 95, 113, 215, 216, 232 facto family, 90 falsifiable, xiii, 108 Family and Social Networks, 90 Fiestas Patrias, 181 Fijian, 100 Filipino, 180 Flavian Amphitheater, 176 followers, xix Fordism, 24, 25 Forgiveness, 209 Frida Kahlo, 184, 185 Friedman, 69, 78, 112 Fukuyama, 57, 58, 78, 79 functionalism, ix, xi

G

Giddens, 57, 79, 117, 118, 146 globalization, ix, x, xii, xiv, 24, 26, 27, 73, 74, 163 Goffman, 119, 120, 121, 146 Gombo, 196, 197 Granovetter, 43 Green Wall, 103 Green10, 142 Griffin, 163 Group on Human Rights, 142 Guía Latina, 167 Gurvitch, xi

Η

Hagen, 68, 79 Halal food, 196 Hargadon, 64, 79 Hariou, xi Harris's materialism, 97 Havek, 69, 79 Healey, 33 hegemony, xiii, 71, 108 Held, 73, 79 heterotopy, 87 heuristic, x, 32 hic et nunc, 37, 58 hierarchy, xiv, 123, 129, 144 Hobbes', 97 holism, x Holland, 162 Homans, 32, 79

Homo Naledi, 95, 96 Homo sapiens, 95 Hörl, 40 hubs, xiv, 123, 154 hybridization, 26, 197 hyperuranio, 75 hyponymy, 218 hypothesis of solidity, xii

Ι

Iannone, 62, 79, 80, 112, 153, 154, 201, 234 idem sentire, 64 in embryo, 62 *in loco*, 100 inalienable, xii, 26, 108 individualism, x individuation, x industrialism, 24, 27 Infocracy, 29 Information Age, 77, 115 information society, xii, 24, 25, 28, 125, 149 informational society, 125 informationism, 27 insertion, xix interdisciplinary, xiii, xix, 85,93 inter-identity, xiii internationalization, xiv intra-identity, xiii intrapsychic, 88 Iran Freedom, 103 Istat, 157, 162 ISTAT, xvii

Italy, x, 35, 36, 157, 162, 165, 166, 167, 172, 184, 187, 193, 230

J

J. Law, 87 Jerusalem, 162 Jones & Gorge J. M., 67

K

Keynesian, 25 kinship, 37, 89 Knoke, 64, 80 Knowncrazy, xix Kogut, 65, 82 Kolm, 59, 80 Kwok-Bun, 26

L

L. Berger, 95 L. Mariottini, 29 Landi, 71, 78 Latin, xv, xvi, xvii, 153, 157, 160, 163, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 196, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202 Latour, 85, 87, 91, 102, 107, 112 Latour B., 87 Lazarsfeld, 57, 80 Lehman Brothers, 98 Leiss, 25, 80

Leonardis, 38, 78 Levy Strauss, 97 lexicons, xviii Linguistic Landscape, xv, xvi, xvii, 153, 160, 163, 203, 204, 205 LinkedIn, 95 Lipparini, 66, 80 LL, xvi, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 182, 200, 205 lobbies, 139 Luhmann, 70

Μ

M. Callon, 87 M.C. Marchetti, 29 Maanen J. Van and Schein, 57 Madrassas, 218 Magatti, xiv, 129, 147 mailing lists, 209 mainstream, 30, 84, 86 Manichean, 43 Manuela Ciprì, ix, xvii Marcuse, 24, 80 Maria Cristina Marchetti, i, xiv, 115 Mayer, 67, 80 McIver, 31 Merleau Ponty, 117 meronymy, 218 Merton, 57, 80 Meyrowitz, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 147 Michel de Certeau, 117 Minc, 25, 81 Minor, 32, 77, 80

Miranda July, 86 Mitchell, 32, 77, 90, 110 mobile robots, 209 Mongardini, 33, 58, 71, 78, 80, 81 Montreal, 162 moulding, xvi multidimensional, xi, xix, 73 multilingualism, ix, xvi, 155, 162, 164, 204, 205 multimedia, xix Mutti, 60, 81

Ν

National Geographic Society, 95 neo-corporative, x neo-functionalist, x neoliberal, 25 neoliberistic, 127 neo-morphological, x network, ix, x, xii, ix, x, xi, xii, xiii, xiv, xv, xviii, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 41, 43, 45, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 63, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69, 71, 81, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 113, 115, 116, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 132, 133, 137, 139, 140, 144, 146, 147, 148, 156, 159, 166, 190, 191,

200, 201, 209, 218, 233, 234 Network Society, i, ix, xi, xv, xix, xxi, 23, 77, 82, 83, 115, 128, 145, 146, 148, 149, 153, 204, 209 New Economy, 205, 209 Nodes, xiv *nomos*, 37, 69 Nora, 25, 81 NS, xi, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 68, 153

0

Offe, 69 *Olos*, 107 Olson & Zanna, 67 Onda Latina, 167 ontogenetic, 44 ontological, ix, 97 open-source programming, 209 Ottavia Trecca, 157

P

Panebianco, 69, 81, 139, 147 paradigm, xii, xvi, 24, 34, 59, 72, 133, 144, 145 paradigms, x, 92, 93 Peru, 166, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 182, 200 Peruvian, xvii, 166, 168, 172, 189, 199, 200, 202 Peverelli, 26 phenomena, xvi, xvii, 39, 69, 72, 73, 76, 99, 131, 143, 166 Phenomenologie de la perception, 117 phylogenetic, 44 physical space, xiv, 87, 116, 118, 119, 122, 161 Piazza della Repubblica, 179 Piazza Mancini, 179, 180 Pietro Canonica Museum, 184 Pinson, 76, 81 Piselli, 62, 63, 81, 87, 91, 93, 113 Pizzorno, 61, 81 pluralism, xi, 71 polarizing, xiii, 108 Portes, 32 post-industrialism, 24, 25 posts, xix, 215, 216 prassi, 138 profiles, xix, 214, 215, 216, 217 Progetto Sur, 183 projections, xviii propaganda, xviii pseudo, 71, 187 Putnam, 36, 58, 81

Q

quantum, 40 *quid pro quo*, 42

R

Radcliffe-Brown, 31

reality TV, 209 re-spatialisation, xiv re-spatialization, xi reticular, x, xii, 35, 38, 54, 59, 61, 74, 166 reticularity, xi, 34, 43 Riporto qualche istruzione, 103 Rising Star, 95 Roberta Iannone, i, ix, xi, 23 Rousseau's political philosophy, 97

S

social space, xiv, 98, 101, 116, 118, 119, 120, 122, 154, 159, 202 sociolinguistic, xvi, 154, 157, 159, 161 sociology, xi, xii, xiv, 38, 39, 55, 57, 59, 87, 118 Sombartian, 71 Sotho, 95

'space of flows', 30, 153

۱

S

Sacco and Zamagni, 36 Schein, 57, 68, 82 Schmitt, 75, 81 Schoorman, 67, 80 Scott, 30 Scuderie del Quirinale, 184 Sellznick, xi Sentir Latino, 167 Shan, 65, 82 Simmel, 32, 38, 39, 40, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 81, 83, 113, 118, 148 Smismans, 136, 137, 148 snapshot, x social capital, xii, x, xviii, 32, 35, 53, 72 social circles, ix, 32, 43 Social Committee, 141, 142, 149

Spain, 162 spatial, x, xi, xvi, xvii, 27, 64, 117, 118, 123, 124, 145, 172, 173, 210 Stakeholders, 138 Statistical Bureau of the City of Rome, 157 Stephen Ullman, 226 structuralism, 97 substrates, xiii, 108 sui generis, 60, 119 supranational-state, 133 Sutton, 64, 79 synonymy, 218 synthetic, 25

Т

talk shows, 209 *taxis*, 69 taylorism, 25 Technopolitics, xvii Termini, 165, 200 territorialisation, xvii The Economist, 210 the Ecuadorean, xvii thermodynamics, 209 thesis, 23, 24, 69, 115, 119, 164 toponymy, 155 transnationalism, ix, 154 Treaties, 138, 141 Treaty of Lisbon, xv, 116, 137, 138, 141 trends, xviii, 234 Triepel, 71, 81 Trigilia, 60, 81 tweets, 215, 217 Twitter, xviii, xix, 95, 103, 214, 215, 216, 225

U

Ufficio di Statistica, xvii Ummah, 209 United Nations Fourth World Conference, 100 *uti singuli*, 36

V

Van Dijk, 27, 28, 29, 33, 82, 128 Van Gierke, xi *vergesellschaftung*, 39 Via di Portonaccio 212, 194 Vickstrom, 32 voluntary associationism, 42

W

Wacquant, 56, 77 Walker, 65, 82 web logs, 209 web rings, 209 Weberian, 70 Westphalian, xv, 116 White Paper, xv, 116, 134, 135, 136, 137, 139, 145, 146, 149 Witwatersrand University, 95

Ζ

Zamagni, 59, 80